

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/700,007	DIEM, EARL D.
	Examiner Gary Chin	Art Unit 3661

All Participants:	Status of Application: _____
(1) <u>Gary Chin</u> .	(3) _____.
(2) <u>Douglas Fekete</u> .	(4) _____.
Date of Interview: <u>11 March 2005</u>	Time: _____
Type of Interview:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
If Yes, provide a brief description:	
Part I.	
Rejection(s) discussed:	
Claims discussed:	
1, 6-7, 11, 16 and 22	
Prior art documents discussed:	
Part II.	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:	
<i>It was agreed to amend the above-identified claims as set forth in the examiner's amendment to overcome the 112, second paragraph errors as discussed during the telephone interview in order to place the case in condition for allowance.</i>	
Part III.	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)